Montana Trial Tampers

ORIGINALED
July 14 2008

Ed Smith

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

STATE OF MONTANA

Officers:

Michael P. Sand
President
James P. Molloy
President-Elect
Sydney E. McKenna
Vice President
Roger Sullivan Jr.
Secretary-Treasurer

Directors:

Wade Dahood Director Emeritus Roberta Anner-Hughes Flizabeth A. Best. Daniel B. Bidegaray Zander Blewett Daniel P. Buckley James P. Carey Michael D. Cok E. Craig Daue Amy Poehling Eddy Michael J. George Heather M. Latino Sydney E. McKenna Michael J. McKeon James P. Molloy Michael P. Sand J. David Slovak Roger Sullivan Jr.

ATLA Representatives:

Lawrence A. Anderson ATLA Governor William A. Rossbach ATLA Governor Joe R. Bottomly ATLA State Delegate Kurt M. Jackson ATLA State Delegate

Executive Offices:

Al Smith
Executive Director
Mary Correia Taylor
Education Coordinator
32 S. Ewing, Suite 306
P.O. Box 838
Helena, Montana 59624
Tel: (406) 443-3124
Fax: (406) 449-6943
E-mail: mtla@mt.net
Website: www.monttla.com

July 9, 2008

Montana Supreme Court P.O. Box 203003 Helena, Montana 59620-3003 CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

RE: Proposed 2008 Montana Code of Judicial Conduct

Dear Members of the Court:

First, our thanks to the Commission and the Court for it's efforts in bringing forth the proposed 2008 Montana Code of Judicial Conduct. Your work is appreciated.

It has come to our attention that Rule 3.10 of the proposed 2008 Montana Code of Judicial Conduct would preclude attorneys from becoming judges in lower courts unless they also refrain from the practice of law. While the judicial pay in some jurisdictions is sufficient, in others the pay is such that attorneys need the additional income from a limited legal practice to make ends meet.

To our knowledge, current Rule 31 of the Canons of Judicial Ethics has been sufficient to assure the integrity of the judicial system in those instances where a lower court judge was also a practicing attorney. We are unaware of any cases where lower court judges/attorneys have abused their office through the practice of law, or in any other way impugned the integrity of their office through the practice of law.

We would like to suggest that the Court either delay implementing Rule 3.10 to gather further information on the impact it would have, or that Rule 3.10 be amended to reflect the private practice restrictions and safeguards contained in current Rule 31, pending further review of the need for such a substantial change.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Michael Sand,
President